

Management of Retained Epidural Catheter Fragment After Combined Spinal **Epidural during Routine External Cephalic Version**

Introduction

- Combined spinal epidural (CSE) anesthesia is a procedure that involves the subarachnoid injection of anesthetic with subsequent placement of an epidural catheter.
- CSE technique is routinely utilized for external cephalic version (ECV) to decrease maternal pain and potentially convert to surgical neuraxial anesthesia in the case of emergent delivery.

• Retained epidural catheter fragments have a reported incidence ranging from 2 in 1,000 to 1 in 60,000.

- Due to its rarity, management algorithms for retained catheter fragments come from case reports. The first step is expert consultation and imaging to determine the location of the fragment. If found to be intrathecal, creating a CSF leak, or causing neurologic symptoms, surgical removal is likely indicated.
- There is also discussion about the MRI conditional nature of catheters, further complicating springwound decisions, and techniques to mitigate the risk of catheter shearing during placement and removal.

The views expressed herein are those of the Author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or positon of Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Defense, or the U.S. Government.

Casey Spell, MD¹, Courtney M. Hood, MD¹ ¹San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium (SAUSHEC), San Antonio, TX

imaging



Figure 1. CT Lumbar spine. "Thin radiodense substance measuring 1.7 cm in length that enters the right side of the L5-S1 epidural space and terminates adjacent to the right side of the thecal sac. Recommend clinical correlation."

Case Presentation / Anesthetic Plan



• The patient was a 22-year-old G2P0 who presented for a routine ECV at 37 weeks and 1-day gestation. Her obstetric (OB) history was notable for chronic hypertension (cHTN), pre-pregnancy obesity (BMI 39 -> 41), Chiari I malformation (no neurologic deficits), and cervical insufficiency with a prior cerclage.

• The anesthetic plan was a CSE with 1mL intrathecal 0.25% bupivacaine and 15mcg fentanyl. The 17G Tuohy needle was inserted at L4-L5 with a LOR at 9cm. A 25G spinal needle was placed via the Tuohy, and CSF was noted. The spinal dose was given, and the needle was removed. A springwound epidural catheter (19G closedtip, multi-port) was threaded but was unable to advance beyond the 11cm catheter marking. Multiple attempts at threading were made unsuccessfully, and the catheter was removed. Resistance was noted with removal, and the patient was repositioned multiple times. The catheter was eventually removed with a steady, constant pull force. A 2nd epidural catheter was placed via the remaining Touhy. The surgical team made three unsuccessful attempts at ECV, and the case was otherwise uneventful.



Management of Retained Epidural Catheter Fragment After Combined Spinal **Epidural during Routine External Cephalic Version**

PROCESS MAP OF EVENTS



The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or positon of Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the U Defense, or the U.S. Government.

Casey Spell, MD¹, Courtney M. Hood, MD¹ ¹San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium (SAUSHEC), San Antonio, TX



11:18 Neuraxial (CSE) procedure documented with notes about 2nd catheter and shearing of 1st catheter

note with



13:39 Anesthesiology catheter note documenting procedure and lumbar XR result with no foreign body.



14:42 NSGY recommending MRI L spine



21:51 MRI L spine, catheter does not invade dura





Epidural Catheter Removal

- catheter removal.

Catheter Fragment Management

- subarachnoid space.

- catheter.



• The Touhy needle was still in place during attempts at initial

• An epidural or spinal catheter may shear and break off if the catheter is withdrawn through a needle.

• If the catheter must be withdrawn, the needle and catheter should be withdrawn as a unit.

• Rarely, catheters do break on removal. We favor aggressive attempts to remove broken catheters located in the

• However, it may be unnecessary to remove broken catheters located in the epidural space; rather, in these circumstances, the patient can be informed of the complication and observed over time.

• The incidence of catheter migration or other delayed sequelae appears to be low.

• Imaging (radiography, computed tomography, magnetic resonance) may help identify the precise location of a broken



Management of Retained Epidural Catheter Fragment After Combined Spinal **Epidural during Routine External Cephalic Version**

Outcome

• To date, the patient has no neurologic or sequelae from the retained epidural fragment (1.7cm). The plan is to follow months and 1 year.

Clinical Imaging Decisions

- MRI spine imaging has traditionally been choice for ferromagnetic catheters bec metallic artifact as well as risk of neural secondary to heating of a wire-enforced ca the epidural space. There have b documented cases of injury occurring se to MRI in a patient with a sheared catheter.
- CT imaging is preferred as an initial imaging modality for determining catheter location.

References

- pages 664-666.

- 5. Chestnut's Obstetric Anesthesia, 12, 238-270.

The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or positon of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Army Medical Department of the Army Medical Center, San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium, the U.S. Army Medical Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Surgeon General, the Department of the Army Medical Department of the Defense, or the U.S. Government.

Casey Spell, MD¹, Courtney M. Hood, MD¹

¹San Antonio Uniformed Services Health Educations Consortium (SAUSHEC), San Antonio, TX

		B BR/
•		SHARING
c systemic catheter v up at 6	MRI Safety Information MR Conditional The PERIFIX FX Springwound Catheter is MR Condition FX Springwound Epidural Catheter may be safely scanned at 1.5T or 3.0 may result in injury.	
	Parameter Device Configuration Static Magnetic Field Strength (B0) Maximum Spatial Field Gradient	Condition/Information Closed-Tip Multi-Port 1.5T and 3.0T 20 T/m (2000 Gauss/c 17 T/m (1700 Gauss/c
	RF Excitation RF Transmit Coil Type	Any Any
n a poor cause of	Operating Mode RF Conditions Scan Duration	Normal Operating Mo Whole-Body Average 2 W/kg whole-body a back series/scan with
l damage atheter in	Scan Regions Image Artifact	Any landmark is acce The presence of the P artifact. Some manipu artifact.
seen no secondary epidural	Additional Instructions	Ensure proper and sec the patient using an a of the PERIFIX FX Spr displacement of the o * For patient landmar and for patients posit

Figure 2. MRI conditional parameters for PERIFIX FX Springwound Catheter used for CSE procedure

6. Ugboma, Stella MD; Au-Truong, Xuan MD; Kranzler, Leonard I. MD; Rifai, Saleh H. MD; Saleh H



onal. For all MR Examinations: A patient implanted with the PERIFIX .0T under the following conditions. Failure to follow these conditions

t or Open-Tip Uniport Not Filled or Filled with Any Liquid or Any Drug

cm) 1.5T cm) 3.0T*

ode

d SAR $\leq 2 W/kg$

averaged SAR for 60 minutes of continuous RF (a sequence or back to nout breaks).

ptable. PERIFIX FX Springwound Epidural Catheter may produce an image ulation of scan parameters may be needed to compensate for the

cure fixation of the PERIFIX FX Springwound Nerve Block Catheter to appropriately applied dressing. Failure to ensure the secure fixation ringwound Nerve Block Catheter to the patient's skin can result in a catheter.

rks that will place the catheter inserted region inside the MRI bore, tioned prone or on their side, the edge of the body at the catheter least 10 cm inside from the wall of the magnet bore.

Epidural Catheter Removal Learning Points

What should be done during routine removal of an epidural catheter? What are the correct steps if one fractures during placement or removal?

- efficacious

9. provide education regarding "red flags" sequelae 10. Neurosurgery consultation for all cases of catheter fragment is in the spinal canal

2. ABA Anesthesiology Content Outline, 2014. "Anesthesia Complications: Sheared Catheter: is surgical extraction really necessary?" J Clin Anesth. 2007 Jun; 19(4): 310-4. Review. Bromage, PR. Epidural Analgesia. 1978,



1. use slow, continuous force at all times 2. discontinue application of force if catheter begins to stretch and reapply traction several hours later 3. place patient in the same position as insertion 4. place patient in lateral decubitus position 5. attempting remove in extreme flexion OR extension if the previous interventions are not

6. attempt removal after injection of preservative-free normal saline through the catheter

7. consider CT to identify the etiology of entrapment 8. leave a retained catheter in place in adult patients

^{1.} Gompels B, Rusby T, Slater N. Fractured epidural catheter with retained fragment in the epidural space-a case study and proposed management algorithm. BJA Open. 2022;4:100095. Published 2022 Oct 4. doi:10.1016/j.bjao.2022.100095.

^{3.} Van de Velde, M. (2022). Combined Spinal-Epidural Analgesia for Labour. In: Fernando, R., Sultan, P., Phillips, S. (eds) Quick Hits in Obstetric Anesthesia. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72487-0_2. 4. Mitra R, Fleischmann K. Management of the sheared epidural catheter: is surgical extraction really necessary? J Clin Anesth. 2007 Jun; 19(4): 310-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane. 2006.11.005. PMID: 17572331.